# PCT

REC'D	10	MAR 2000	
WIPC		PCT	

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

15280-34	404 DC	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
15280-3421PC			
International application No. International filing date ( PCT/US98/25742 04/12/1998			
		04/12/1998	05/12/1997
Internation C12N15	, ,	r national classification and IPC	
Applicant			
THE GO	VERNMENT OF THE UN	NITED STATES OFet al.	
	international preliminary exa s transmitted to the applicat		by this International Preliminary Examining Authority
2. This	REPORT consists of a total	of 5 sheets, including this cover sh	eet.
b	een amended and are the	nied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the basis for this report and/or sheets on n 607 of the Administrative Instruction	e description, claims and/or drawings which have partaining rectifications made before this Authority and under the PCT).
Thes	e annexes consist of a total	of sheets.	·
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
3. This	report contains indications i	relating to the following items:	
		relating to the following items:	
<sub>-</sub> -	☐ Basis of the report	relating to the following items:	
I II	☐ Basis of the report☐ Priority		entive step and industrial applicability
I II III	<ul><li>☒ Basis of the report</li><li>☐ Priority</li><li>☒ Non-establishment of</li></ul>	of opinion with regard to novelty, inv	entive step and industrial applicability
I	<ul> <li>☑ Basis of the report</li> <li>☑ Priority</li> <li>☑ Non-establishment of</li> <li>☑ Lack of unity of inve</li> <li>☑ Reasoned statemen</li> </ul>	of opinion with regard to novelty, inv ntion t under Article 35(2) with regard to r	entive step and industrial applicability novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
 	<ul> <li>☑ Basis of the report</li> <li>☑ Priority</li> <li>☑ Non-establishment of</li> <li>☑ Lack of unity of inve</li> <li>☑ Reasoned statemen</li> </ul>	of opinion with regard to novelty, inv ntion t under Article 35(2) with regard to r ations suporting such statement	
I II III V	<ul> <li>☒ Basis of the report</li> <li>☐ Priority</li> <li>☒ Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inve</li> <li>☒ Reasoned statement citations and explan</li> <li>☐ Certain documents</li> </ul>	of opinion with regard to novelty, inv ntion t under Article 35(2) with regard to r ations suporting such statement	
II	<ul> <li>☑ Basis of the report</li> <li>☐ Priority</li> <li>☑ Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inve</li> <li>☑ Reasoned statement citations and explan</li> <li>☐ Certain documents</li> <li>☐ Certain defects in the</li> </ul>	of opinion with regard to novelty, inv ntion t under Article 35(2) with regard to r ations suporting such statement cited	
           	<ul> <li>☑ Basis of the report</li> <li>☐ Priority</li> <li>☑ Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inve</li> <li>☑ Reasoned statement citations and explan</li> <li>☐ Certain documents</li> <li>☐ Certain defects in the</li> </ul>	of opinion with regard to novelty, inv ntion it under Article 35(2) with regard to r ations suporting such statement cited e international application	
                   	<ul> <li>☑ Basis of the report</li> <li>☐ Priority</li> <li>☑ Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inve</li> <li>☑ Reasoned statement citations and explan</li> <li>☐ Certain documents</li> <li>☐ Certain defects in the</li> </ul>	of opinion with regard to novelty, invention It under Article 35(2) with regard to reations suporting such statement cited In einternational application In the international application	
                   	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statemen citations and explan Certain documents Certain defects in th Certain observations	of opinion with regard to novelty, invention t under Article 35(2) with regard to reations suporting such statement cited e international application s on the international application Date of c	novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
IIIIIV V VI VIII Date of sut	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statement citations and explant Certain documents Certain defects in the Certain observations Certain observations Certain observations Certain observations	of opinion with regard to novelty, invention t under Article 35(2) with regard to reations suporting such statement cited e international application s on the international application  Date of c	novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; completion of this report
IIIIIV V VI VIII Date of sut 01/07/19 Name and preliminary	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statemen citations and explan Certain documents Certain defects in th Certain observations comission of the demand	of opinion with regard to novelty, invention  t under Article 35(2) with regard to reations suporting such statement cited  e international application  s on the international application  Date of contact of the property o	novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; completion of this report
IIIIIVVVIIIIDate of sut	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statement citations and explant Certain documents Certain defects in the Certain observations Certain observations Certain observations Certain observations	of opinion with regard to novelty, invintion  t under Article 35(2) with regard to reations suporting such statement cited  e international application  s on the international application  Date of control of the cont	completion of this report  33. 00  and officer



International application No. PCT/US98/25742

#### I. Basis of the report

1. This report has been drawn on the basis of (substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Oil response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed the report since they do not contain amendments.):				
	Des	scription, pages:		
	1-4	7	as originally filed	
	Cla	ims, No.:		
	1-5	0	as originally filed	
	Dra	wings, sheets:		
	1/7-	-7/7	as originally filed	
2.	The	e amendments have	e resulted in the cancellation of:	
		the description,	pages:	
		the claims,	Nos.:	
		the drawings,	sheets:	
		considered to go l	een established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):	
4.	Add	ditional observation	s, if necessary:	
111.	. No	n-establishment o	f opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	
			e claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), able have not been examined in respect of:	
		the entire internat	ional application.	

☑ claims Nos. 9-14,40-43,50.

because:

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US98/25742

	Ø	the said international aprelate to the following su (specify):	plicatio ubject m	n, or the s natter whi	said claims Nos. 9-14,40-43,50, with respect to industrial applicability ch does not require an international preliminary examination	
		see separate sheet				
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
		the claims, or said claim could be formed.	ns Nos.	are so in	adequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion	
		no international search	report h	as been e	established for the said claims Nos	
۷.	Rea app	nsoned statement unde dicability; citations and	r Article explan	e 35(2) w lations si	ith regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial upporting such statement	
1.	Stat	tement				
	Nov	reity (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	2-50 1	
	Inve	entive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	2-50	
	Indi	ustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-8,15-39,44-49	

## 2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

# VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

# Re item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claims 9-14,40-43,50 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

### Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- The present international application relates to transmission blocking vaccines for malaria by using the Plasmodium (P.) vivax Pvs28-, Pvs25 polypeptides or Pvs25/Pvs28 fusion proteins.
- 2.1). Reference is made to the following documents:

**D1**: WO8910936

- 2.2). D1 which is identical to US7188918 relates to the 25 KD surface protein of zygotes and ookinetes of P. falciparum, said surface protein having been designated Pfs25. The Pfs25 amino acid sequence has 44.8% identity in 221 AA overlap with SEQ ID No 4 of the present application and the encoding sequence (SEQ ID No 1) has 58.7% identity in 661 bp overlap with SEQ ID NO 3 of present application (D1, Fig. 1).
- 3.1). D1 is novelty destroying for claim 1, since a sequence having about 59% identity hybridizes under stringent conditions to the claimed sequence. Therefore, the characterization of the subject-matter of claim 1 would not allow the skilled person to unambiguously distinguish claim 1 from the disclosures

made in D1.

Therefore, claim 1 does not meet the requirements of Art. 32 (2) PCT.

3.2). The subject-matter of claims 2-50 was neither disclosed nor suggested in the available prior art, and therefore, it could not be deduced in an obvious manner from the closest prior art document D1.

In consequence, claims 2-50 meet the requirements of Art. 33 (2) and (3) PCT.

4). For the assessment of the present claims 9-14,40-43, and 50 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

Claims 1-8,15-39, 44-49 meet the requirements of Art. 33 (4) PCT.

### Re Item VIII

# Certain observations on the international application

Certain observations on the international application

The characterization of the claimed matter only by its name and without giving a sufficient characterization by true technical features does not allow the skilled person to unambiguously identify the claimed matter.

Therefore, claims 4,6,9,13,15,19,30,40,44 and the claims depending thereof do not meet the requirements of Art. 6 and Rule 6 PCT.

The above comment also applies to the claims referring back to the above mentioned claims.